

**NUMBER OF LEVEL CROSSINGS
OF RANDOM ALGEBRAIC POLYNOMIALS**

DR. P. K. MISHRA

Department of Mathematics,
College of Engineering and Technology
AN AUTONOMOUS GOVT. COLLEGE
Bhubaneswar, India

Abstract—In this paper, we have estimated bounds of the number of level crossings of the random algebraic polynomials $f_n(x,1) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(t)x^k = 0$ where $a_k(t) \leq t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, are dependent random variables assuming real values only and following the normal distribution with mean zero and joint density function $|M|^{1/2} (2\pi)^{-a/s} \exp[(-1/2)\delta' M \delta]$. There exists an integer n_0 and a set E of measure at most $A/(\log n_0 - \log \log \log n_0)$ such that, for each $n > n_0$ and all not belonging to E , the equations (1.1) satisfying the condition (1.2), have at most $\alpha(\log \log n)^2 \log n$ roots where α and A are constants.

1991 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 60 B 99.

Keywords— Independent identically distributed random variables, random algebraic polynomial, random algebraic equation, real roots.



In this paper we use data science to find the number of zeros and number of level crossings of algebraic polynomial using different methods. Data science often uses statistical inferences to predict or analyze trends from data, while statistical inferences uses probability distributions of data. Hence knowing the probability and its applications are important to work effectively on data science problems and we get the number of zeros of the polynomial we consider, is the most approximate to other predecessors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider the family of equations

$$f_n(x,1) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(t)x^k = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $a_k(t) \leq t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, are dependent random

variables assuming real values only and following the normal distribution with mean zero and joint density function.

$$|M|^{1/2} (2\pi)^{-a/s} \exp[(-1/2)\delta' M \delta] \quad (2)$$

when M^{-1} is the moment matrix with $\sigma_i^2 = 1, \rho_{ij} = \rho, 0 < \rho, i \neq j, i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and d' is the transpose of the column vector d .

In this paper we estimate the upper bound of the number of real roots of (1.1). We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM: *There exists an integer n_0 and a set E of measure at most $A/(\log n_0 - \log \log \log n_0)$ such that, for each $n > n_0$ and all not belonging to E , the equations*

(1.1) satisfying the condition (1.2), have at most $\alpha(\log \log n)^2 \log n$ roots where α and A are constants.

The transformation $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{x}$ makes the equation $f_n(x, t)=0$ transformed to

$$\sum_{r=0}^n a_{n-r}(t)x^r = 0 \text{ and } (a_0(t), \dots, a_n(t))$$

and $(a_n(t), a_{n-1}(t), \dots, a_0(t))$ have

the same joint density function. Therefore number of roots and the measure of the exceptional set in the set $[\infty, -\infty]$ are twice the corresponding value can be considered and now show that this upper bound is same as in $[0, 1]$.

There are many known asymptotic estimates for the number of real zeros that an algebraic or trigonometric polynomial are expected to have when their coefficients are real random variables. The present paper considers the case where the coefficients are complex. The coefficients are assumed to be independent normally distributed with mean zero. A general formula for the case of any complex non stationary random process is also presented.

Some years ago Kac (1943) gave an asymptotic estimate for the expected number of real zeros of an algebraic polynomial where the coefficients are real independent normally distributed random variables. Later Ibragimov and Maslova (1971) obtained the same asymptotic estimate for a case which included the results due to Kac(1943, 1949), Littlewood and Offord (1939) and others. They considered the case when the coefficients belong to the domain of attraction of normal law. Recently

there has been some interesting development of the subject, a general survey of which, together with references may be found in a book by Bharucha-Reid and Sambandham (1986). These generalizations consider different types of polynomials, see for example Dunnage (1966) or study the number of level crossings rather than axis crossings, see Farahmand (1986, 1990). However, they assume the real valued coefficients only. Dunnage (1968) considered a wide distribution for the complex-valued coefficients, however he only obtained an upper limit for the number of real zeros. Indeed, the limitation of this result, being only in the form of an upper bound, is justified. It is easy to see that for the case of complex coefficients there can be no analogue of the asymptotic formula for the expected number of real zeros. To illustrate this point we use the result due to Dunnage (1968). Suppose

$\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j (x_j + i\beta_j) f_j(x)$ has a real root where $f_j(x)$ is in the form of x^j or $\cos^j \theta$ and α_j and $\beta_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ are sequences of independent random variables. This implies that

the polynomials $\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j f_j(x)$ and

$\sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j f_j(x)$ have a common root and the

elimination of $f_j(x)$ leads to the equation

$$\phi(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = 0.$$

Thus the number of roots in the range $[\infty, -\infty]$ and the measure of the exceptional set are each four times the corresponding estimates for the

range [0,1]. Evans has considered the case when the random co-efficients are independent and normal. Our technique of proof is analogous to that of Evans.

ξ2. We define the circles C_0, C_c, C_m and C_1 as follows. C_0 with centre at $z=0$ and radius $\frac{1}{2}$, C_c with centre at

$$z = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\log \log n_0}{2n_0}$$

And of radius

$$\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\log \log n_0}{2n_0}$$

C_m with centre at $z=X^m=1-2^{-m}$ and of radius

$$r_m = \frac{1}{2}(1 - X^m) = 2^{-(m+1)} \text{ for } m = m_0, m_1 \dots M$$

where

$$m_0 = \left\lceil \frac{\log n_0 - \log \log \log n + \log 3}{\log 2} \right\rceil - 1$$

and

$$\frac{\log n - \log \log \log n}{\log 2} - 1 < M < \frac{\log n - \log \log \log n}{\log 2}$$

and

$$C_1 \text{ with centre at } z = 1 \text{ and radius } \frac{\log \log n}{n}$$

By Jensen's theorem the number of zeros of a regular function $\varphi(z)$ in a circle z_0 and of radius r does not exceed

$$\frac{\log n(M / \varphi(z_0))}{\log(R / r)}$$

where M is the upper bound of $\varphi(z)$ in a concentric circle of radius R . We use this theorem

to find the number of zeros of $f_n(z, t)$ in each circle. Summing the number of zeros in each of the circle we get the upper bound of the number of zeros of $f_n(z, t)$ in the circle.

ξ3. To estimate the upper bound of the number of zeros of $f_n(z, t)$ in the circle C_0 , we shall use the fact that each $a_k(t)$ has marginal frequent function.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$$

Now if $\max |a_v| > (n+1)$ then $|a_v| > (n+1)$ for at least one value of $v \leq n$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max |a_v| > n+1) &\leq \sum_{v=0}^n P(|a_v| > n+1) \\ &= (n+1)(2/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{n+1}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2} dt \\ &< \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} e^{-(1/2)(n+1)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Since $|f_x(z, t)| \leq (n+1)|z|^n \max |a_v|$, in the circle

$$|z| = 1 + \frac{2 \log \log n}{n},$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(x, t) &\leq \left(1 + \frac{2 \log \log n}{n}\right)^n (n+1) \max |a_v| \\ &< (n+1)^2 e^{2 \log \log n} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Outside a set of measure at most $(2/\pi)^{1/2} e^{-(1/2)(n+1)^2}$ by (3).

$$|f_n(0, t)| = |a_0| \text{ and}$$

$$P(|a_0| < (n+1)^{-2}) = (2/\pi)^{1/2} \int_0^{(n+1)^{-2}} e^{-n^2/t} du < (2/\pi)^{1/2} (n+1)^{-2}.$$

Hence outside a set of measure at most $(2/\pi)^{1/2} (n+1)^{-2}$ we have

$$|f_n(0,t) = |a_0(t)| \geq (n+1)^{-2}$$

If N_0 denotes the number of zeros of $f_n(z, t)$ in the circle C_0 then Jensen's theorem (J), (4) and (5) we have

$$N_0 < \frac{\log(e^{2\log\log n} (n+1)^4)}{\log 2} = \frac{4\log(n+1) + 2\log\log n}{\log 2}$$

Outside a set of measure at most

$$((2/\pi)^{1/2} e^{-(n+1)1/2} + (2/\pi)^{1/2} (n+1)^{-2})$$

Thus for all $n > n_0$, we have

$$N_0 < \frac{4\log(n+1) + 2\log\log n}{\log 2}$$

Outside a set of measure at most

$$\sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} (2/\pi)^{1/2} [e^{-(n+1)1/2} + (n+1)^{-1}] < \frac{C}{n_0}$$

Where C is an absolute constant

4. To estimate the upper bound of the number of zeros of $f_n(x, t)$ in the circle C_0 we proceed as follows. The probability that

$$\left| \sum_{v=0}^n a_v(t) \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\log\log n_0}{2n_0} \right)^n \right| < (n+1)^{-2}$$

is

$$(2/\pi)^{1/2} \int_0^{(n+1)^{-1}} e^{-n^{2/2} du} < (2/\pi)^{1/2} (n+1)^{-1} \sigma_n^{-1} \quad (5)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^{-1} &= (1-\rho) \sum_{v=0}^n \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\log\log n_0}{2n_0} \right)^n + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^n \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\log\log n_0}{2n_0} \right)^n \right)^2 \\ &> (1-\rho) \frac{\exp\left(-2(n+1)\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\log\log n_0}{2n_0}\right)\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\log\log n_0}{2n_0}\right)^2} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

If N_0 denotes the number of zeros of $f_n(z, t)$ in the circle C_0 then Jensen's theorem (J), (4), (5) and (6) we have

$$N_0 < \frac{4\log(n+1) + 2\log\log n}{\log 2}$$

Outside a set measure at most

$$\sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(e^{-(n+1)2} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 \sigma_n} \right) = \frac{C}{n_0^{1/2}} \left[\frac{\log\log n_0}{1 - (\log n_0)^{-2}} \right]^{1/2}$$

5. To obtain an upper estimate of the number of zeros of $f_n(x, t)$ in the circle $C_m(m=m_0, m_1, \dots, M)$ we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1: Let E be an arbitrary set. Then for complex numbers g , we have

$$\int_E \log \left| \sum_{v=0}^n a_v(t) g_v \right| dt \quad \text{Where} \\ < m(E) \log \sigma + m(E) \log \log \left(\frac{C}{m(E)} \right)$$

$$\sigma^2 = (1-\rho) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |g_v|^t + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |g_v|^t \right)^2$$

PROOF: Let $g_v = b_v + ic_v g$ where b_v and c_v are real.

Also let

$$F = \left\{ t : \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |a_v(t) g_v| \geq A \sigma \right| \right\}$$

$$G = \left\{ t : \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |a_v(t) b_v| \geq A \sigma / 2^{1/2} \right| \right\} \quad \text{No}$$

and

$$H = \left\{ t : \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |a_v(t) c_v| \geq A \sigma / 2^{1/2} \right| \right\}$$

w

$$m(G) = \sigma_n^{-1} (2/\pi)^{1/8} \int_{A_0/2^{1/2}} en^{1/8} \sigma_n^8 du < \frac{2}{A\pi^{1/2}} en^{1/8}$$

And

$$m(H) \leq \frac{2}{A\pi^{1/2}} en^{1/8}$$

Since

$$F \subset G \cup H \text{ and } m(F) \leq m(G) + m(H) \leq \frac{4}{A\pi^{1/2}} e - d^{1/4}$$

. Following Evans [Lemma] we get the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 2: If $g_v, v=0, 1, \dots$ are real and if

$$G = \left\{ t : \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_v(t) g_v \right| \leq g\sigma \right\}$$

Then $m(G) < tQ$, where

$$\sigma^2 = (1 - \rho) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} g_v^2 + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} g_v \right)^2$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = (1 - \rho) \sum_{v=0}^n g_v^2 + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^n g_v \right)^2$$

and

$$Q = (2/\pi)^{1/4} (\sigma/\sigma_n);$$

and if E is any set having no point in common with G then

$$\int_E \log \left| \sum_{v=0}^n a_v(t) g_v \right| dt > m(E) \log \sigma - CQm(E) \log \frac{1}{m(E)}$$

PROOF: Following Evans [Lemma2] we get the proof of the lemma.

Let $N_m(r, t)$ denote the number of zeros of $f_n(z, t)$ in the circle with centre x_m and radius r . By Jensen's theorem

$$\int_0^{\delta/1^{m+3}} \frac{N_m(r, t)}{r} dr = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z-x_m|^{m-\frac{E}{z^{m+E}}}} \log \left| \frac{f_n(z, t)}{f_n(x_m, t)} \right| dz$$

Therefore

writing

$$\varphi_m(t) \text{ for } Nm(1/2^{m+1}, t), \text{ we have } \left(2\pi \log \frac{5}{4} \right)^{-1}$$

$$\varphi_m(t) \leq \left(2\pi \log \frac{5}{4} \right)^{-1} \int_{|z-x_m|^{m-\frac{E}{z^{m+E}}}} \log \left| \frac{f_n(z, t)}{f_n(x_m, t)} \right| dz$$

and hence we get

$$\varphi_m(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2\pi \log \frac{5}{4}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left\{ \int_n \log \left| f \left(x_m + \frac{5}{2^{m+3}} e^{i\theta}, t \right) \right| dt - \int_E \log |f_n(x_m, t)| dt \right\}$$

By Lemmas 1 and 2, if E has no point in common with a set G_m of measure at most Qm where

$$Q_m = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \rho) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^{2v} + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^{2v} \right)^2}{(1 - \rho) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^{2v} + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^v \right)^2} \right\}^{1/2}$$

We get

$$\varphi_m(t) dt < \frac{m(E)}{2\pi \log \frac{5}{4}} \int_0^{2\pi} \log V(x_m, \theta) d\theta + CQ_m m(E) \log \frac{1}{m(E)}$$

where

$$V(x_m, \theta) = \frac{(1 - \rho) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left| x_m + \frac{5}{2^{m+2}} e^{i\theta} \right|^2 + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left(x_m + \frac{5}{2^{m+2}} e^{i\theta} \right)^v \right)^2}{(1 - \rho) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^{2v} + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^v \right)^2}$$

Since $|x_m| < 1$ in $V(x_m, 0)$, the second term in both the numerator and denominator is constant. Therefore

$$V(x_m, \theta) < \frac{\left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left| x_m = \frac{5}{2^{m+2}} e^{i\theta} \right|^v \right)^2}{\rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^v \right)^2}$$

$$< \frac{[1 - (1 - 2^{-m})]^2}{\rho \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{5}{2^{m+2}} \right) \right)^2} = \frac{1}{F} \left(\frac{8}{3} \right)^2$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_E \varphi_m(t) dt < C Q_m m(E) \log \frac{1}{m(E)}$$

If E has no point in common with a set G_m of measure at most Qm/m^2 , taking $\varepsilon = m^{-2}$

Consider

$$I = \int_E \frac{\sum_{m=0}^t \varphi_m(t)}{M(t) \log M(t)} dt,$$

where

$$M(t) \leq \Phi(n) = \frac{\log n \log \log \log n}{\log 2}$$

Put

$$E_k = \{t \in E : M(t) = k\}$$

Then

$$E = \bigcup_{k=m_0}^{\Phi(n)} E_k$$

and

$$I = \sum_{k=m_0}^{\Phi(n)} \int_K \frac{\sum_{m=0}^t \varphi_m(t)}{k \log k} dt, \quad \sum_1 + \sum_2.$$

where \sum_1 contains the terms for which

$$m(E_k) \leq m(E) / k^2.$$

First consider \sum_1 . The function $x \log x^{-1}$ is increasing with x for $0 < x < e^{-1}$ and therefore

$$m(E_k) \log \frac{1}{m(E_k)} \leq 2m(E) \frac{\log k}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k^2} m(E) \log \frac{1}{m(E)}$$

$$\text{If } \frac{m(E_k)}{k^2} < \frac{1}{e} \text{ or } m_e^2 > em(E).$$

Now

$$\int_{E_K} \frac{\sum_{m=0}^t \varphi_m(t)}{k \log k} dt = \frac{1}{k \log k} \sum_{m=0}^k \int_{E_K} \varphi_m(t) dt,$$

$$< \frac{C}{\log k} (\max Q_n) m(E_k) \log \frac{1}{m(E_K)}$$

$$\leq C \left(\frac{P_k}{K^2} m(E) + \frac{P_k}{K^2 \log k} m(E) \log \frac{1}{m(E)} \right)$$

If E_k has no point in common with a set

$$H_k = \bigcup_{m=m_0}^k G_m \text{ of measure at most}$$

$$P \sum_{m=m_0}^k \frac{1}{m^2} \text{ where } Pk = \max_{m_0 \leq m \leq k} Q_m. \text{ Now}$$

consider \sum_2 , where $m(E_k) \leq m(E)/k^2$.

Then

$$\int_{E_K} \frac{\sum_{m=0}^t \varphi_m(t)}{k \log k} dt = \frac{1}{k \log k} \sum_{m=0}^k \int_{E_K} \varphi_m(t) dt,$$

$$< C \frac{P_k m(E_k) \log \frac{1}{m(E_K)}}{\log k}$$

$$\leq C \left(P_k m(E_k) + \frac{P_k}{k^2 \log k} m(E) \log \frac{1}{m(E)} \right)$$

If E_k has no point in common with a set H_k .

Hence

$$I \leq C \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\substack{m_0 \leq k \leq (n) \\ m(E_k) \leq \frac{m(E)}{k^2}}} \left(\frac{P_k}{K^2} m(E) + \frac{P_k}{K^2 \log k} m(E) \log \frac{1}{m(E)} \right) + \\ \sum_{\substack{m_0 \leq k \leq (n) \\ m(E_k) \leq \frac{m(E)}{k^2}}} \left(P_k m(E_k) + \frac{P_k}{\log k} m(E_k) \log \frac{1}{m(E)} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

If E has no point in common with a set H of measure at most

$$\left(\frac{1}{m_0} \right) \max_{m_0 \leq m \leq k} Q_m.$$

Now

$$Q^2_m = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right) (\sigma^2 / \sigma_n^2)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{(1-\rho) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^{2v} + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^v \right)^2}{(1-\rho) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^{2v} + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^v \right)^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{\left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^v \right)^2}{\left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_m^v \right)^2} = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1-x_m^{n+1})^2}$$

since the second term in both the numerator and denominator is dominant. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 Pk &< \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left[1 - (1 - 2^{-\Phi(n)})^{n+1}\right]^{-1} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left[1 - (\log n_0)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \\
 &< \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} e^2 \text{ if } n_0 > e^{1/\sqrt{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$I < C_m(E) \log \frac{1}{m(E)}$$

if E has no point in common with a set H of measure at most

$$\frac{e^2}{m_0} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{C}{m_0}.$$

Thus we obtain that for $n > n_0$ and arbitrary E

$$\int_E \frac{\sum_{m=m_0}^{m(t)} \varphi_m(t)}{M(t) \log M(t)} dt < C_m(E) \log \frac{1}{m(E)}$$

If $M(t) \leq \Phi(n)$ and E has no point in common with a set H of measure at most $\frac{C}{m_0}$. Now let

$$F(t) = \sup_{m_0 \leq M \leq \Phi(n)} \left(\frac{\sum_{m=m_0}^{m(t)} \varphi_m(t)}{M(t) \log M(t)} \right).$$

Hence after solving the theorem and lemmas we have conclude that considering a polynomial (1.1) we have estimate bounds of the number of level crossings of the above random algebraic polynomials where under a given condition with mean zero and joint density function

$$|M|^{1/2} (2\pi)^{-a/s} \exp[(-1/2)\delta' M \delta].$$

There exists an integer n_0 and a set E of measure at most $A/(\log n_0 - \log \log \log n_0)$ such that, for each $n > n_0$ and all not belonging to E, the equations (1.1) satisfying the condition (1.2), have at most $\alpha(\log \log n)^2 \log n$ roots where α and A are constants.

Hence the theorem.

References

- [1] Bharucha-Reid, A. and M. Sambandham (1986). Random Polynomials (Academic Press, New York).
- [2] H. Cramer and M.R. Leadbetter. Stationary and Related Stochastic. Processes. (Wiley, New York)
- [3] Dunnage, J.E.A. (1966). The number of real zeros of a random algebraic polynomial, Proc. London. Math. Soc. 16, 53-84.
- [4] Dunnage, J.E.A. (1968). The number of real zeros of a class of random algebraic equation, Proc. London. Math. Soc. 18, 439-460.
- [5] K. Farahmand. (1986). On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. Ann. Probab. 14: 702-709.

II. CONCLUSIONS



Dr. P. K. Mishra has been working as a faculty in the Department of Mathematics with the College of Engineering & Technology, Bhubaneswar, India since more than 25 years. His research interests include Mathematics, Probability and Statistics. He has published a lot of research papers related in these areas.